BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



03/29/22 04:59 PM **C2203017**

Timothy J. Stich,

Complainant,

VS.

Southern California Edison Company (U338E),

Defendant.

ECP Case	(C)	
DCI Cust	(~,	

Expedited Complaint (Rule 4.6)

COMPLAINANT	DEFENDANT
Timothy J. Stich Se	outhern California Edison Company (U338E) Attn: Anna Valdberg, Director & Managing Attorney 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, CA 91770 T: 626-302-6008 E-mail 1: Anna.Valdberg@sce.com E-mail 2: case.admin@sce.com

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(A) Timothy J Stich			
COMPLAINA vs. Southern California			
(B)	Luison		
DEFENDAN (Include Utility "U-Nun	. ,	(for Cor	mmission use only)
(C) Have you tried to resolve this matter informally with the Commission's Consumer Affairs staff? X YES		Did you appeal to the Consu	umer Affairs Manager? NO
Has staff responded to your complaint? X YES		Do you have money on deport Commission? YES X NO Amount \$	
		Is your service now disconned YES	ected?
	COMPLA	AINT	
(D) The complaint of (Provide of Name of Complainant(s)	name, address and phone	e number for each complainan	Daytime Phone
Timothy Stich	2271 Stallion Dr Nor	co, CA 92860	Number 951-737-3766
respectfully shows that:			
,	T	imber for each defendant)	Daytima Phone
Name of Defendant(s) Southern Californal Edison	Address Elizebeth Duran	•	Daytime Phone Number 326-815-7255 Ext 42255
Country Company Labor			

(F) Explain fully and clearly the details of your complaint. (Attach additional pages if necessary and any supporting documentation)

In the year 2021 Southern California Edison (SCE) started charging exorbitante amounts for my electric usage (by August they said I owed over 900.00 dollars). In the five previous years I had paid no more than 1.00 - 5.00 a month and recieved 50.00 - 75.00 at the end of the year due to having solar on my roof. I was told by their representative that I my solar was not producing as much. I had solar company come out and check it and they said it is producing the same amount as it was five years ago. When I told SCE this, they said I was using more. I asked for copies of my bills dating back to January 2019. After repeated phone calls and two months I was told by Elizabeth Duran that she couldn't produce invoices back that far. I filed a informal complaint with the CPUC. On February 10th I recievied correspondenance from Greg Harris stating that SCE resolved my issue. The did not. I spoke with Greg Harris and he sent me what was supose to be three years of usage from SCE. The date range was 12/2019 to 12/2021 (2 years. I never recieved this). While I was waiting for a responce I have recieved four months of energy production reports from my solar company and when I compaired that to my bill I found that SCE is giving me less than half the credit for I am producing. The say I owe them907.95 on my last bill I say I don't. If i am using more why has that amount not changed since August 2021 & why am I not getting full credit.

(G) Scoping Memo Information (Rule 4.2(a))			
(1) The proposed category for the Complaint is (check one):			
adjudicatory (most complaints are adjudicatory unless they challenge the reasonableness of rates)			
Tratesetting (check this box if your complaint challenges the reasonableness of a rates)			
(2) Are hearings needed, (are there facts in dispute)? X YES NO			
(3) X Regular Complaint			
(4) The issues to be considered are (Example: The utility should refund the overbilled amount of \$78.00): SCE should refund the 907.95 overbilled and fix the issue with them crediting me for less than half of my solar generation.			

or 18 months (if ca	itegorized as ratesetting) is	as follows:		
Prehearing Confere	nce: Approximately 30 to	40 days from the d	ate of filing of the C	Complaint.
Prehearing Conference: Approximately 30 to 40 days from the date of filing of the Complaint. Hearing: Approximately 50 to 70 days from the date of filing of the Complaint.				
Prehearing Confe	ranca			
(Example: 6/1/09				
Hearing (Example	e: 7/1/09)			
Explain here if you propo	se a schedule different from	m the above guidel	lines.	
(H)				
_	s) request(s) an order: Stat	te clearly the exact	relief desired. (Atta	nch additional
pages if necessary)	overbilled and resolve of	of the under cred	it for the solar proc	duced
A credit for the 307.70	overbilled and resolve t	of the under cred	it for the solar proc	duceu
			7	
(T)				
(I) OPTIONAL: I/we would	d like to receive the answe	er and other filings	of the defendant(s) a	and information
	mission by electronic mai			
timothy.stich@gmail.c	om			
(J)				
Dated Norco	, California, this	17 day of	February	, 2022
(City)	Annual Control of the	(date)	(month)	(year)
			111	
		HAII)	4 /1	
		Signature of eac	h complainant	

(5) The <u>proposed</u> schedule for resolving the complaint within 12 months (if categorized as adjudicatory)

(MUST ALSO SIGN VERIFICATION AND PRIVACY NOTICE)

(K)

REPRESENTATIVE'S INFORMATION:

Provide name, address, telephone number, e-mail address (if consents to notifications by e-mail), and signature of representative, if any.

Name of	Timothy Stich
Representative:	Timothy Stien
Address:	2271 Stallion Dr Norco, CA 92860
Telephone Number:	951-737-3766
E-mail:	timothy.stich@gmail.com
Signature	

VERIFICATION (For Individual or Partnerships)

I am (one of) the complainant(s) in the above-entitled matter; the statements in the foregoing document are true of my knowledge, except as to matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

(L)				
Exec	cuted on 2/17/2022 , at Norco , California (City)			
	The fill			
	(Complainant Signature)			
	VERIFICATION (For a Corporation)			
I am an officer of the complaining corporation herein, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The statements in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge, except as to the matters which are therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.				
I dec	lare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			
(M)				
Exec	cuted on, at, California (City)			
	Signature of Officer Title			
(N)	(N) NUMBER OF COPIES NEEDED FOR FILING: If you are filing your formal complaint on paper, then submit one (1) original, six (6) copies, plus one (1) copy for each named defendant. For example, if your formal complaint has one defendant, then you must submit a total of eight (8) copies (Rule 4.2(b)). If you are filing your formal complaint electronically (visit http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/PUC/efiling for additional details), then you are not required to mail paper copies.			

California Public Utilities Commission

Attn: Docket Office

(O) Mail paper copies to:

505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001 San Francisco, CA 94102

PRIVACYNOTICE

This message is to inform you that the Docket Office of the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") intends to file the above-referenced Formal Complaint electronically instead of in paper form as it was submitted.

<u>Please Note</u>: Whether or not your Formal Complaint is filed in paper form or electronically, Formal Complaints filed with the CPUC become a <u>public record</u> and may be posted on the CPUC's website. Therefore, any information you provide in the Formal Complaint, including, but not limited to, your name, address, city, state, zip code, telephone number, E-mail address and the facts of your case may be available on-line for later public viewing.

Having been so advised, the Undersigned hereby consents to the filing of the referenced complaint.

Amy (I)	2/17/2022
Signature	Date
Tim Stich	
Print your name	